arXiv:1207.5870v1 [math-ph] 25 Jul 2012

# Stability of solitonic solutions of Super KdV equations under Susy breaking conditions

A. Restuccia*, A. Sotomayor**

July 26, 2012

*Departamento de Física
Universidad de Antofagasta
*Departamento de Física
Universidad Simón Bolívar
**Departamento de Matemáticas
Universidad de Antofagasta
e-mail:arestu@usb.ve, asotomayor@uantof.cl

### Abstract

A supersymmetric breaking procedure for $N = 1$ Super KdV, preserving the positivity of the hamiltonian as well as the existence of solitonic solutions, is implemented. The resulting integrable system is shown to have nice stability properties.

Keywords: supersymmetric models, integrable systems, conservation laws, nonlinear dynamics of solitons, partial differential equations

Pacs: 12.60.Jv, 02.30.lk, 11.30.-j, 05.45. Yv, 02.30. Jr

## 1 Introduction

The breaking of supersymmetry in physical systems is always an interesting aspect to analyze. We consider here the stability analysis of an integrable system arising from the breaking of supersymmetry in the $N = 1$ Super KdV system [1, 2]. In the latter there is only one hamiltonian structure in distinction to the bi-hamiltonian one in the KdV system. Its hamiltonian however is not manifestly positive. Nevertheless the quantum formulation of the theory yields a manifestly positive definite self-adjoint operator. The stability of the ground state of the system is then assured from it. We consider in this work the supersymmetry breaking of the Super KdV system by changing the Grassmann algebra structure of the Super KdV formulation to a Clifford algebra one. This susy breaking mechanism has already appeared in several works, see for example [3]. It is then straightforward to obtain an integrable system with the same evolution equation for the new Clifford algebra valued field as one had for the odd Grassmann algebra valued one in the Super KdV system and what is more important with a manifestly positive "hamiltonian". The system also presents solitonic solutions although the infinite set of local conserved quantities of Super KdV breaks down. We will show that this integrable system has nice stability properties.

## 2 Supersymmetry breaking procedure

We denote by $u(x,t)$ and $\xi(x,t)$ the fields describing $N=1$ SKdV equations [1], taking values at the even and odd part of a Grassmann algebra respectively. The SKdV are

$$\begin{aligned} u_t &= -u''' + 6uu' - 3\xi\xi'' \\ \xi_t &= -\xi''' + 3(\xi u)'. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

This system of partial differential equations have infinite local conserved charges as well as infinite non-local conserved charges [1, 4, 5, 6, 7]. The first few of them are

$$\begin{aligned} &H_{\frac{1}{2}} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \xi dx, \quad H_1 = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} u dx \\ &H = H_3 = \frac{1}{2}\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left(u^2 - \xi\xi'\right) dx, \quad H_5 = \frac{1}{2}\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left(2u^3 + (u')^2 - \xi'\xi'' - 4u\xi\xi'\right) dx, \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

which we give explicitly in order to compare with the conserved charges of the system with broken supersymmetry we will consider.

$H_1$ and $H$ became manifestly positive self-adjoint operators in the quantum formulation of the theory. The fields $u$ and $\xi$ may be expanded in terms of the Virasoro generators of a superconformal algebra which may be realized in terms of an oscillator algebra [8, 9, 10]. Using normal ordering the expressions of $H_1$ and $H$ are manifestly positive. In particular $H_1$ is the hamiltonian of a supersymmetric harmonic oscillator. Besides $H_1$ and $H$ we are also interested in $H_{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $H_5$. $H_{\frac{1}{2}}$ will be relevant in the stability analysis we will consider and $H_5$ is very important because stationary points of $H_5$ subject to $H_3$ give rise to solitonic solutions. We are interested in having solitonic solutions and to analize their stability in a system without supersymmetry. Hence we would like to break supersymmetry in a way to have a positive hamiltonian and conserved charges analogous to $H_{\frac{1}{2}}, H_1, H_5$.

To break supersymmetry we consider the fields $u$ and $\xi$ to take values on a Clifford algebra instead of being Grassmann algebra valued. We thus take $u$ to be a real valued field while $\xi$ to be an expansion in terms of the generators $e_i, i=1,\ldots$ of the Clifford algebra:

$$\xi = \sum_i \varphi_i e_i + \sum_{ij} \varphi_{ij} e_i e_j + \sum_{ijk} \varphi_{ijk} e_i e_j e_k + \cdots \tag{3}$$

where

$$e_i e_j + e_j e_i = -2\delta_{ij} \tag{4}$$

and $\varphi_i, \varphi_{ij}, \varphi_{ijk}, \ldots$ are real valued functions. We define $\bar{\xi} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varphi_i \bar{e}_i + \sum_{ij} \varphi_{ij} \bar{e}_j \bar{e}_i + \sum_{ijk} \varphi_{ijk} \bar{e}_k \bar{e}_j \bar{e}_i + \cdots$ where $\bar{e}_i = -e_i$. We denote as in superfield notation the body of the expansion those terms associated with the identity generator and the soul the remaining ones. Consequently the body of $\xi\bar{\xi}$, denoted by $\mathcal{P}(\xi\bar{\xi})$, is equal to $\Sigma_i \varphi_i^2 + \Sigma_{ij} \varphi_{ij}^2 + \Sigma_{ijk} \varphi_{ijk}^2 + \cdots$ In what follows, without loss of generality, we rewrite $\mathcal{P}(\xi\bar{\xi}) = \Sigma_i \varphi_i^2 + \Sigma_{ij} \varphi_{ij}^2 + \Sigma_{ijk} \varphi_{ijk}^2 + \cdots$ simply as $\mathcal{P}(\xi\bar{\xi}) = \Sigma_i \varphi_i^2$.

We now propose the following system of partial differential equations which has the required properties as discussed before,

$$\begin{aligned} u_t &= -u''' - uu' - \tfrac{1}{4}\left(\mathcal{P}\left(\xi\bar{\xi}\right)\right)' \\ \xi_t &= -\xi''' - \tfrac{1}{2}(\xi u)'. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

This system with a change of sign in the third term of the right hand member of the first equation of system (5) was introduced from a different point of view in [11, 12].

The system (5) has the following conserved charges

$$\begin{aligned} &\hat{H}_{\frac{1}{2}} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \xi dx, \quad \hat{H}_1 = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} u dx \\ &V \equiv \hat{H}_3 = \tfrac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left(u^2 + \mathcal{P}\left(\xi\bar{\xi}\right)\right) dx, \\ &M \equiv \hat{H}_5 = \tfrac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left(-\tfrac{1}{3}u^3 - \tfrac{1}{2}u\mathcal{P}\left(\xi\bar{\xi}\right) + (u')^2 + \mathcal{P}\left(\xi'\bar{\xi}'\right)\right) dx. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

It is interesting to remark that the following non-local conserved charge of Super KdV [7] is also a non-local conserved charge for the system (5), in terms of the Clifford algebra valued field $\xi$,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \xi(x) \int_{-\infty}^{x} \xi(s) ds dx.$$

However the non-local conserved charges of Super KdV in [4] are not conserved by the system (5). For example,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u(x) \int_{-\infty}^{x} \xi(s) ds dx$$

is not conserved by (5).

We notice that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{P}\left(\xi\bar{\xi}\right) dx = \|\xi\|_{L_2}^2 \tag{7}$$

hence $V$ is manifestly positive definite. The system has solitonic solutions, for example: $u(x,t) \equiv \phi(x,t) = 3\mathcal{C}\frac{1}{\cosh^2(z)}, z \equiv \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{C}^{\frac{1}{2}}(x - \mathcal{C}t), \xi(x,t) = 0$. $\phi(x,t)$ is the one-soliton solution of KdV equation.

The system (5) is not invariant under supersymmetric transformations, as expected. Moreover, there isn't a conserved charge of dimension 7, that is there is no analogue of $H_7$ in SKdV or KdV systems. The mechanism has not only broken supersymmetry but also the symmetries related to $H_7$ and probably to all higher dimensional ones. There remain, however, (6) as conserved charges of the system.

## 3 Stability properties of the system

We may now consider the main result of the paper: the stability of the ground state as well as the stability of the one-soliton solution of the system (5).

We start the analysis by considering an a priori bound for the solutions of system (5). We denote by $\| \ \|_{H_1}$ the Sobolev norm:

$$\|(u,\xi)\|_{H_1}{}^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left[\left(u^2 + \Sigma_{i=0}^{\infty}\varphi_i^2\right) + \left(u'^2 + \Sigma_{i=0}^{\infty}\varphi_i'^2\right)\right] dx \tag{8}$$

We obtain

$$\|(u,\xi)\|_{H_1}{}^2 \leq V + M + \frac{1}{2}\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |u| \left(u^2 + \mathcal{P}\left(\xi\bar{\xi}\right)\right) dx, \tag{9}$$

we now use

$$\sup|u| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\|u\|_{H_1} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\|(u,\xi)\|_{H_1}; \tag{10}$$

it yields

$$\|(u,\xi)\|_{H_1}{}^2 \leq V + M + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}V\|(u,\xi)\|_{H_1}. \tag{11}$$

From (11) it follows

$$\|(u,\xi)\|_{H_1} \leq \frac{d + \sqrt{d^2+4e}}{2} \tag{12}$$

where $d = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}V$ and $e = V + M$.

Consequently, given $V$ and $M$ from the initial data and a solution satisfying those initial conditions, the $\|(u,\xi)\|_{H_1}$ is bounded by (12). The a priori bound is a strong evidence of the existence of the solution for $0 \leq t$. We will consider this existence problem elsewhere. In this work we assume the existence of the solution and its continuous dependence on the initial data under smooth enough assumptions on the initial perturbation.

We consider the stability in the sense of Liapunov. In particular we take the same definition as in [13]: $(\hat{u},\hat{\xi})$, a solution of (5), is stable if given $\epsilon$ there exists $\delta$ such that for any solution $(u,\xi)$ of (5), satisfying at $t=0$

$$d_I\left[(u,\xi,),\left(\hat{u},\hat{\xi},\right)\right] < \delta \tag{13}$$

then

$$d_{II}\left[(u,\xi,),\left(\hat{u},\hat{\xi},\right)\right] < \epsilon \tag{14}$$

for all $t \geq 0$.

$d_I$ and $d_{II}$ denote two distances to be defined.

We consider now the stability problem of the ground state solution $\hat{u} = 0, \hat{\xi} = 0$.

We take $d_I$ and $d_{II}$ to be the Sobolev norm $\|(u-\hat{u}, \xi-\hat{\xi})\|_{H_1}$.

We get

$$V \leq \|(u,\xi)\|_{H_1}$$

and

$$M \leq \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2}|u|\left(u^2+\mathcal{P}\left(\xi\bar{\xi}\right)\right)+u'^2+\mathcal{P}\left(\xi'\bar{\xi}'\right)\right) dx \leq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\|(u,\xi)\|_{H_1}{}^3 + \|(u,\xi)\|_{H_1}{}^2.$$

At $t = 0$ we then have, using (13),

$$\begin{aligned} V &< \delta \\ M &< \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\delta^3 + \delta^2. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, from the a priori bound (12), we obtain for any $t \geq 0$

$$\|(u,\xi)\|_{H_1} < \epsilon$$

for any given $\epsilon$, provided $\delta$ is conveniently chosen.

This argument proves the stability of the ground state solution. We now consider the stability of the one-soliton solution $\hat{u} = \phi, \hat{\xi} = 0$. The proof of stability is based on estimates for the $u$ field which are analogous to the one presented in [13, 14] while a new argument will be given for the $\xi$ field. The distances we will use are

$$d_I\left[(u_1,\xi_1),(u_2,\xi_2)\right] = \|\left(u_1-u_2,\xi_1-\xi_2\right)\|_{H_1} \tag{15}$$

$$d_{II}\left[(u_1,\xi_1),(u_2,\xi_2)\right] = \inf_{\tau}\|\left(\tau u_1-u_2,\xi_1-\xi_2\right)\|_{H_1} \tag{16}$$

where $\tau u_1$ denotes the group of translations along the $x$-axis. $d_{II}$ is a distance on a metric space obtained by identifying the translations of each $u \in H_1(\mathbb{R})$[13]. $d_{II}$ is related to a stability in the sense that a solution $u$ remains close to $\hat{u} = \phi$ only in shape but not necessarily in position.

We first assume that

$$V(u,\xi) = V(\hat{u},\hat{\xi}) = V(\phi,0) \tag{17}$$

and

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \xi dx = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \hat{\xi} dx = 0 \tag{18}$$

and then we will relax this conditions to get the most general formulation of the stability problem.

Following [13] we define

$$h(x,t) = u(x-a,t) - \phi(x+\mathcal{C}t)$$

where $a$ is defined, for each $t \geq 0$,by

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left[u\left(x,t\right) - \phi\left(x+a\right)\right]^2 dx = \inf_{y\in\mathbb{R}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left[u\left(x,t\right) - \phi\left(x+y\right)\right]^2 dx.$$

In [14] it was proven that the infimum is taken on finite values of $y$.

We obtain,

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta M \equiv M(u,\xi) - M(\phi,0) = \textstyle\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left[h'^2 + \left(\mathcal{C} - \phi\right) h^2 + \Sigma_{i=0}^{\infty} {\varphi_i'}^2 + \right.\\ \left. + \left(\mathcal{C} - \tfrac{1}{2}\phi\right) \Sigma_i \varphi_i^2 - \left(\tfrac{1}{3}h^3 - \tfrac{1}{2}\textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \varphi_i^2 h\right)\right] dx,\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

where we have used $\mathcal{C}\left(V(u,\xi) - V(\phi,0)\right) = 0$ and that $\phi$ is the soliton solution of KdV equation and hence it satisfies

$$\phi'' + \frac{1}{2}\phi^2 = \mathcal{C}\phi. \tag{20}$$

We denote $\delta^3 M \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left(-\frac{1}{3}h^3 + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \varphi_i^2 h\right) dx$. We then have

$$|\delta^3 M| \leq \frac{1}{2} \sup |h| \| \left(h,\xi\right) \|_{H_1}^2 \leq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \|(h,\xi)\|_{H_1}^3 \tag{21}$$

where we have used $\sup |h| \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} \|h\|_{H_1}$.

Coming back to $\Delta M$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}|\Delta M| \leq \textstyle\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left(h'^2 + \mathcal{C}h^2 + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} {\varphi_i'}^2 + \mathcal{C}\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\varphi_i^2\right) dx + |\delta^3 M| \leq \\ \leq \max\left(1,\mathcal{C}\right) \| \left(h,\xi\right) \|_{H_1}^2 + \tfrac{1}{3\sqrt{2}} \| \left(h,\xi\right) \|_{H_1}^3.\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

At $t = 0$ we will assume that

$$d_I \left[\left(u,\xi\right), \left(\phi,0\right)\right] = \| \left(h,\xi\right) \|_{H_1} < \delta \tag{23}$$

hence

$$|\Delta M| \leq \left[\max\left(1,\mathcal{C}\right) + \frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}}\delta\right] \| \left(h,\xi\right) \|_{H_1}^2. \tag{24}$$

$|\Delta M|$ is a conserved quantity on the space of solutions of the system (5). By taking $\delta$ small enough in (23) we can make $\Delta M$ as small we wish. The second step in the proof of stability is to argue that that at any $t \geq 0$ $|\Delta M|$ satisfies the bound

$$|\Delta M| \geq K d_{II}\left[(u,\xi),(\phi,0)\right]. \tag{25}$$

This bound completes the proof, in fact we can make, at $t=0$, $|\Delta M|$ as small as we wish and hence we can always satisfy (24) for any given $\epsilon$.

We decompose $\Delta M$ into

$$\Delta M = \delta_h^2 M + \delta_\xi^2 M + \delta^3 M \tag{26}$$

where

$$\begin{array}{l} \delta_h^2 M = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\left[h'^2 + (\mathcal{C}-\phi)\,h^2\right]dx \\ \delta_\xi^2 M = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\left[\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\varphi_i'^2 + \left(\mathcal{C}-\frac{1}{2}\phi\right)\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\varphi_i^2\right]dx. \end{array} \tag{27}$$

In [13, 14] they obtain

$$\delta_h^2 M \geq \frac{1}{4}\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\left(h'^2 + \mathcal{C}h^2\right)dx - \frac{2}{5}\mathcal{C}^{\frac{1}{4}}\|\,(h,\xi)\,\|_{H_1}^3 \tag{28}$$

and

$$\|h\|_{H_1} \geq d_{II}\,(u,h)\,.$$

We will now obtain an analogous lower bound for $\delta_\xi^2 M$.

We consider the operator $H = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - \frac{1}{2}\phi$ with domain in the Hilbert space $L_2(\mathbb{R})$. It is a symmetric essentially self-adjoint operator. We denote with the same letter $H$ its self-adjoint extension. It has two eigenvalues $\lambda_1 = -\mathcal{C}$ and $\lambda_2 = -\frac{\mathcal{C}}{4}$ and a continuous spectrum $[0,\infty)$. The spectral theorem for self-adjoint operators ensures the existence of an unitary transformation from the domain $D(H)$ in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ to $L_2(\mathbb{R},\rho)$. In the case in which $\mathcal{H} = L_2(\mathbb{R})$ this unitary transformation may be realized in terms of the eigenfunctions $\psi_1,\psi_2 \in L_2(\mathbb{R})$ and the hypergeometric functions $\psi_\lambda(x)$ which satisfy point to point

$$H\psi_\lambda = \lambda\psi_\lambda$$

for $\lambda > 0$, but do not belong to $L_2(\mathbb{R})$. Under the unitary map $f(x) \in L_2(\mathbb{R})$ can be expressed

$$f(x) = F_1\psi_1 + F_2\psi_2 + \int_0^\infty F(\lambda)\psi_\lambda d\rho(\lambda)$$

where $F(\lambda)$ belongs to $L_2(\mathbb{R}^+, d\rho)$, the space of square integrable functions with support in the complement of a neighborhood of $\lambda = 0$. The action of $H$ in $\mathcal{H}$ corresponds to the multiplication by $\lambda$ in $L_2(\mathbb{R}, d\rho)$:

$$Hf(x) = \lambda_1 F_1\psi_1 + \lambda_2 F_2\psi_2 + \int_0^\infty \lambda F(\lambda)\psi_\lambda d\rho(\lambda).$$

We notice that for $f \in D(H)$ the third term on the right hand member belongs to $L_2(\mathbb{R})$. $\psi_\lambda$ are normalized in order to have $\|f\|^2_{\mathcal{H}} = F_1^2 + F_2^2 + \int_0^\infty F^2(\lambda)d\rho(\lambda)$. $\psi_1, \psi_2, \psi_\lambda$ are pairwise orthogonal with the internal product in $L_2(\mathbb{R})$.

It then follows

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} H\psi_2 dx = \lambda_2 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \psi_2 dx,$$

its left hand member is zero, hence

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \psi_2 dx = 0. \tag{29}$$

In the same way we obtain

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left[ \int_0^\infty \lambda F(\lambda)\psi_\lambda d\rho(\lambda) \right] dx = 0 \tag{30}$$

for any $F(\lambda)$ on the space $L_2(\mathbb{R}^+, d\rho)$, which implies

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left[ \int_0^\infty F(\lambda)\psi_\lambda d\rho(\lambda) \right] dx = 0. \tag{31}$$

We may always decompose $\xi \in L_2(\mathbb{R})$ as

$$\xi = F_1\psi_1 + F_2\psi_2 + \int_0^\infty F(\lambda)\psi_\lambda d\rho(\lambda)$$

and use (18) together with (29) and (31) to obtain

$$F_1 = 0. \tag{32}$$

We now consider the Rayleigh quotient of any $\xi$ in the domain of $H$:

$$R(\xi) \equiv \frac{\langle -\xi, H\xi \rangle}{\langle -\xi, \xi \rangle}.$$

$\langle , \rangle$ denotes the internal product in the $L_2(\mathbb{R})$ space.

The eigenvalues satisfy (using the min-max theorem)

$$\lambda_1 = \inf \{ R(\xi) : \xi \ \in \ \text{domain}\, H \}$$

$$\lambda_2 = \inf \{ R(\xi) : \xi \ \perp \ \text{span}\, (\psi_1) \}.$$

We thus get

$$\sum_i \langle \varphi_i, H\varphi_i \rangle \geq \lambda_2 \sum_i \langle \varphi_i, \varphi_i \rangle.$$

From (27), and using $\lambda_2 = -\frac{\mathcal{C}}{4}$, we obtain

$$\delta_\xi^2 M \geq \frac{3}{4}\mathcal{C}\sum_i \langle \varphi_i, \varphi_i \rangle$$

and introducing a parameter $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\xi^2 M &= (1-\beta)\,\delta_\varphi^2 M + \beta\delta_\varphi^2 M \geq (1-\beta)\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\left({\varphi_i'}^2 + \mathcal{C}\varphi_i^2\right)dx + \\ &+ (1-\beta)\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\phi\right)\varphi_i^2 dx + \frac{3}{4}\beta\mathcal{C}\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\varphi_i^2. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, using $\phi \leq 3\mathcal{C}$, we have

$$\delta_\xi^2 M \geq (1-\beta)\min(1,\mathcal{C})\,\|\xi\|_{H_1}^2$$

for any $\beta$ satisfying $\frac{2}{3} < \beta < 1$. In particular for $\beta = \frac{3}{4}$

$$\delta_\xi^2 M \geq \frac{1}{4}\min(1,\mathcal{C})\,\|\xi\|_{H_1}^2. \tag{33}$$

From (28) and (33) we get

$$\delta_h^2 M + \delta_\xi^2 M \geq \frac{1}{4}\min(1,\mathcal{C})\,\|\,(h,\xi)\,\|_{H_1}^2 - \frac{2}{5}\mathcal{C}^{\frac{1}{4}}\|\,(h,\xi)\,\|_{H_1}^2 \tag{34}$$

and from (21)

$$\delta^3 M \geq -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\|\,(h,\xi)\,\|_{H_1}^2.$$

Finally,

$$\Delta M \geq \frac{1}{4}l\|\,(h,\xi)\,\|_{H_1}^2 - b\|\,(h,\xi)\,\|_{H_1}^3 \tag{35}$$

where

$$l = \min(1,\mathcal{C})\,, b = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} + \frac{2}{5}\mathcal{C}^{\frac{1}{4}}.$$

We now use an argument in [13, 14] to show, for $\delta$ small enough, that

$$\Delta M \geq \frac{1}{6}l\|\,(h,\xi)\,\|^2.$$

Since

$$\|\,(h,\xi))\| \geq d_{II}\left[(u,\xi)\,,(\phi,0)\right]$$

we then obtain

$$\Delta M \geq \frac{1}{6} l \{ d_{II} \left[ (u,\xi), (\phi,0) \right] \}^2$$

for $\delta$ small enough.

The stability proof has then been completed.

We may now relax the assumptions (17) and (18). The assumption (17) may be removed by an application of the triangle inequality as is [13]. While (18) may be relaxed by considering

$$\tilde{\xi} = \xi - F_1 \psi_1,$$

and using again the triangle inequality for the distance $d_{II}$:

$$d_{II} \left[ (u,\xi), (\phi,0) \right] \geq d_{II} \left[ \left( u, \tilde{\xi} \right), (\phi,0) \right] + d_{II} \left[ (u,\xi), \left( u, \tilde{\xi} \right) \right]$$

where $\left[ (u,\xi), \left( u, \tilde{\xi} \right) \right] = \| F_1 \psi_1 \|_{H_1}$ is conserved by $\hat{H}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ and bounded by $\| (u,\xi) \|_{H_1}$ at $t = 0$. The solitonic solution $(\phi, 0)$ is then stable in the sense (13), (14).

## 4 Conclusions

We considered the breaking of the supersymmetry in the $N = 1$ Super KdV system and obtained an integrable model in terms of a Clifford algebra valued field. We showed the stability in the Lyapunov extended sense of the ground state and the one-soliton solution of the integrable model. The approach introduced in [13] to prove the stability of the solitonic solutions of KdV equation and extended here for Clifford valued fields may also be used in the stability analysis of supersymmetric solitons in the bosonization scheme in [15].

Independently of the original motivation, the breaking of supersymmetry, the system we analized in this paper is interesting because it contains the same soliton solutions as the KdV equation but is more realistic in the sense that the symmetry associated to the infinite number of conserved charges of KdV is broken.

**Acknowledgments**
A. R. and A. S. are partially supported by Project Fondecyt 1121103, Chile.

## References

[1] P. Mathieu, J. Math. Phys. 29, 2499 (1988).

[2] Yu. I. Manin and A. O. Radul, Commun. Math. Phys. 98, 65 (1985).

[3] N. Seibert, JHEP 0306, 010 (2003).

[4] P. Dargis and P. Mathieu, Phys. Lett. A 176, 67-74 (1993).

[5] R. M. Miura, C. S. Gardner, and M. D. Kruskal, J. Math. Phys. 9, 1204 (1968).

[6] S. Andrea, A. Restuccia and A. Sotomayor, J. Math. Phys. 46, 103517 (2005).

[7] S. Andrea, A. Restuccia and A. Sotomayor, Phys. Lett. A 376, 245-251 (2012).

[8] P. Mathieu, Phys. Lett. B 203, 287-291 (1988).

[9] J. L. Gervais and A. Neveau, Nucl. Phys. B 209, 125 (1982).

[10] S. Nam, Phys. Lett. B 172, 323 (1986).

[11] P. J. Olver and V. V. Sokolov, Commun. Math. Phys. 193(2), 245-268 (1998).

[12] V. V. Sokolov and S. I. Svinolupov, Theor. Math. Phys. 100 B, 959-962 (1994).

[13] T. B. Benjamin, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A. 328, 153-183 (1972).

[14] J. Bona, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A. 344, 363-374 (1975).

[15] Gao, Xiao Nan, Lou S. Y. , Phys. Lett. B, 707, 209-215 (2012).